



# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SUBMITTED

Submitted on: 5/2/07

Taken By: M

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN RECEIVED BY THIS OFFICE IN REGARDS TO THE FOLLOWING:

BP# \_\_\_\_\_

PA# 06-7299 myhre

SP# \_\_\_\_\_

SI# \_\_\_\_\_

OTHER: May 15 SS  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

*Read scan  
& file. 5/2/07  
thx!*

Subj: **Fwd: File# PA06-7299 (Myhre)**  
Date: 5/1/07 9:58:27 PM Pacific Daylight Time  
From: SEIwell334  
To: stephanie.schultz@co.lane.or.us

See what's free at AOL.com.

Forwarded Message:

Subj: **File# PA06-7299 (Myhre)**  
Date: 5/1/07 9:53:43 PM Pacific Daylight Time  
From: SEIwell334  
To: stephanie.schultz@co.lane.or.us

Dear Stephanie:

We own the property directly north of the Myhre property. I want to strongly oppose their desire to subdivide their property into three lots.

To my knowledge the properties in this area were never able to be subdivided so how can they site a Measure 37 issue?

Approx. 15 years ago I called the Myhre's and asked them if they would be willing to sell their property. They said they were not interested in selling because that property was going to be their retirement home. If that is true, how can they now say that Measure 37 applies?

The Myhre's have allowed the property to become littered with several trailers, campers and other structures. This is the biggest contributor to declining property values for that location, not the fact that they cannot subdivide.

We have a serious water supply issue in this area. Allowing three more wells, assuming they could find water, will also threaten my well along with others in the area.

I have talked to my neighbors, and we are in agreement, for the Myhre's to put three houses on their property would be unacceptable from an appearance stand point and not necessary.  
If they want to increase their property's value all they need to do is clean it up and sell it.

The Myhre's have not been good stewards of the land in the last twenty years. Please do not allow them to benefit from their neglect. Keep the zoning the way it is. If the county needs another subdivision, other areas make more sense.

Thank You

(David) Scott Elwell and Debbie K Elwell  
87044 Central Road  
Eugene Or. 97402  
935-2294

See what's free at AOL.com.

**COMMENTS/TESTIMONY FOR MEASURE 37 CLAIMS**

No development will be approved at the public hearing for this Measure 37 claim.

Name: DAVID SCOTT ELWELL

Address: 87044 CENTRAL ROAD

Distance from property: ADJACENT PROPERTY PA #: 06-7299

Do you wish to receive notice of future land use applications for this property? ☒ YES ☐ NO

To have a valid claim against Lane County under Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770, the applicant must prove:

1. Lane County has enacted or enforced a restrictive land use regulation since the owner or a family member acquired the property; and
2. The restrictive land use regulation has the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property; and
3. The restrictive land use regulation is not an exempt regulation as defined in Measure 37 and LC 2.710.

Testimony regarding this Measure 37 claim should be limited to evidence addressing the three issues outlined above. Issues regarding water, traffic, septic or other concerns not related to the Measure 37 criteria may be addressed once a land use application is submitted. If the Board of County Commissioners determines this is a valid claim, the claimant may submit a land use application to develop the property at a later date. Notice regarding a land use application to develop the property will be sent at that time to all who submit testimony during the Measure 37 claim proceeding or request such notice in writing.

Your testimony can be submitted by email, in writing or in person at the hearing, but should address these specific areas. Attach additional pages if needed.

1. Continuous ownership by the present owner or family members and the restrictive county land use regulations enacted or enforced since the property was acquired.

2. The alleged reduction in fair market value resulting from enforcement of restrictive land use regulations.

3. Whether the restrictive land use regulations are exempt from Measure 37 claims.

4. Other comments.

SEE ATTACHED PAGE